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The Atlantis Press Proceedings article template has many predefined paragraph styles for you to use/apply as you write your paper. To format your abstract, use the Microsoft Word template style: [Abstract]. Each paper must include an abstract. Begin the abstract with the title “Abstract” in bold font, followed by a paragraph with normal 10-point font. Do not cite references in the abstract. Please do not place or cite tables and figures in the abstract either. This part should not exceed 200 words.
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1. INTRODUCTION (HEAD Level 1)

The body text starts with a standard first-level heading like INTRODUCTION or any other heading suitable to the content and context. First level headings are in all caps. Copy the content and replace it for other first-level headings in remaining text. Reference citations should be within square bracket [1], using the IEEE Style. Headings should always be followed by text. All the paragraph text is to be typeset in 10 pt., Times Roman, single spaced.

The Introduction should argue the case for the study, outlining only essential background and should not include the findings or the conclusions. It should not be a review of the subject area but should finish with a clear statement of the question being addressed. The length of this part should be around 600 words.

1.1. Second Level Heading (Head Level 2)

First, confirm that you have the correct template for your paper size. This template has been tailored for output on the A4 paper size.

In this template, the “Styles” menu should be used to format your text if needed. Highlight the text you want to designate with a certain style, and then select the appropriate name on the Style menu. The style will adjust your fonts and line spacing. Use italics for emphasis; do not underline. To insert images in Word, position the cursor at the insertion point and either use Insert | Picture | From File or copy the image to the Windows clipboard.

1.1.1. Third Level Heading (Head Level 3)

Headings may be numbered or unnumbered (“1 Introduction” and “1.2 Numbered level 2 head”), with no ending punctuation. As demonstrated in this document, the initial paragraph after a heading is not indented.

1.1.1.2. Fourth Level Heading (Head Level 4)

This is a fourth level heading. You can replicate it where suitable.

2. objectives

This part should contain the aims of the research that are formulated in accordance with the background explained in the previous part. Authors may elaborate this part in around 100-word length. The objectives can be an investigation as a response to a situation explained in the background.

3. Theoretical review

In this part, authors are required to provide a literature review that are demonstrating knowledge, understanding, thoughts, and previous findings of the scientific literature, particularly on a tourism and tourism-related context. This part should be around 1.000-word length.

4. methods

This part mainly serves how the research was approached. Methods must cover the stages and formulas that are used in data collection, analysis. Those must be explained sequentially to draw a valid and comprehensive process of how the research was conducted. This part should not exceed 300 words.

3. findings & discussion

As you commonly found. This part will elaborate the empirical findings and the discussion of the findings in accordance with relevant experts’ theories, thoughts, factual event, and the researcher’s perspective itself. 1.700 words are considered quite proportional to explain this part. Reference citations should be within square bracket [1].

In Findings & Discussion, authors may also insert figures and tables. Figures and tables should be placed either at the top or bottom of the page and close to the text referring to them if possible. Grapic is also considered as figures.

**Figure 1.** Caption the figure.

For the tables, if they are small, please place them within a column, while the bigger ones must be placed in a text frame spanning to both columns.

**Table 1.** This is the example of table caption.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

4. conclusion

Conclusion is a brief summary of findings and discussion. It is strongly recommended to avoid mere repetitive statements from the previous sections. At the end of the conclusion part, it is recommended for authors to provides the research limitations and the implication of the findings. This should be around 300 words.

5. COMPETING INTEREST STATEMENT

This article is free from any conflict of interest regarding the data collection, analysis, and the publication process itself. Either replicate or modify the previous sentence for this part.

6. AUTHORS’ CONTRIBUTIONS

The title "AUTHORS’ CONTRIBUTIONS" should be in all caps.
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The title "ACKNOWLEDGMENTS" should be in all caps and should be placed above the references. The references should be consistent within the article and follow the same style. List all the references with full details.
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PS:

Style Palette

Styles can be applied using the style palette available within the template. To activate it the press Ctrl+Shift+s. Apply the style as required based on the content and context. (Please don’t highlight your text in yellow.)